UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,517	06/25/2003	Walter W. Borden	57266/2	5214
		EXAMINER		
10/606,517 06/25/2003 Walter W. Borden 31013 7590 10/19/2007 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036	COULTER, F	COULTER, KENNETH R		
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2141	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

klpatent@kramerlevin.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Nation of Abandanasan	10/606,517	BORDEN ET AL.	•
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth R. Coulter	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication			- ·
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the ((a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate period for reply (including a total extension of time (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it defined to the content of the	of Mailing or Transmission dated eof month(s)) which expire), which is after the expirati	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reje application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with	ection consists only of: (1) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appea	filed amendment which places the	9
(c) A reply was received on but it does not confinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (S		de attempt at a proper reply, to the	e non-
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.			
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTG).		within the statutory period of three	e months
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable,), which is after the expiration of the statuto Allowance (PTOL-85).			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A bal	ance of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required	by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, ha	as not been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as Allowability (PTO-37).	required by, and within the three-n	nonth period set in, the Notice of	
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on _ after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing of	or Transmission dated), wh	nich is
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
The letter of express abandonment which is signed be the applicants.	y the attorney or agent of record, t	ne assignee of the entire interest,	or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed be 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	y an attorney or agent (acting in a	representative capacity under 37	CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inte- of the decision has expired and there are no allowed		pecause the period for seeking co	urt review
7. ⊠ The reason(s) below:			
see attached interview summary			
		KENNETH R. COULTER	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to wiminimize any negative effects on patent term.	thdraw the holding of abandonment un	der 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly	y filed to
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) No.	tice of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 2	20071015

Evaminar Initiated Interview Summan	10/606,517	BORDEN ET AL.					
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kenneth R. Coulter	2141					
All Participants:	ants: Status of Application: <u>abandoned</u>						
(1) Kenneth R. Coulter.	(3)						
(2) <u>Aaron S. Haleva (Reg. No. 44,733)</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 15 October 2007	Time: <u>10:39 am</u>						
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:							
Part I.							
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A							
Claims discussed:							
N/A							
Prior art documents discussed: N/A							
Part II.	•						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet							
Part III.							
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 							
•			:				
	·						
PARABO EVANDED 0			·				
full the							
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appr	opriate)				

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Aaron Haleva, in order to inquire about the status of the present Application, since no response to the office action mailed 4/9/07 has been received. Applicant's representative indicated that no response has been mailed.